

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/696,735	10/25/2000	Glynis Allicia Walton	KCC-13,406.1 2992	
35844	7590 03/06/2003	NCKCON	EXAMI	NED
PAULEY PETERSEN KINNE & ERICKSON 2800 WEST HIGGINS ROAD SUITE 365			VO, HAI	
	ESTATES, IL 60195	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1771	
			DATE MAILED: 03/06/2003	i

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)			
Office Aution Summans			. –	WALTON ET AL.			
		09/696,735		Art Unit			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		1771			
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Hai Vo	r sheet with the				
Period for	r Reply						
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, how ply within the statutory m d will apply and will expire	vever, may a reply be inimum of thirty (30) e SIX (6) MONTHS fr	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	3 December 2002	•				
2a)⊠		This action is non-					
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice undefined of Claims	wance except for er <i>Ex par</i> te Quaylo	formal matters e, 1935 C.D. 1	, prosecution as to the ments is 1, 453 O.G. 213.			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-14 and 33-40 is/are pending in the	ne application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	rawn from conside	eration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14, 33-40</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requi	rement.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.	, ja hvilber	Evaminar			
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) obje	ected to by the t	= Xanimer.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be	neid in abeyance	poroved by the Examiner.			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) L_ appro	oction	pproved by the Examiner			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in		action.				
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		251100 64	10(a) (d) or (f)			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under	35 0.5.6. 9 1	19(a)-(d) or (i).			
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
*	application from the International	list of the certified	copies not re	ceived.			
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	estic priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(e) (to a provisional application).			
	 a)	nrovisional applic	cation has been	n received.			
Attachme							
1) No	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	5)	Interview Sur Notice of Info Other:	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/696,735 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

1. Claim 15 has been canceled in the amendment received on 12/23/2002.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1-10, 12, 13 and 33-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McCormack (US 5,695,868) substantially as set forth in Paper no.
 Table 1 of US'868 reads on the weight ratio limitation set out in the claims.
- 4. Claims 1-10, and 33-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by anticipated by WO 99/47590. WO'590 discloses a film segment **12** comprising a microporous LLDPE film having calcium carbonate particles (page 13, lines 9-10). WO'590 further discloses a bonding agent added within a segment wherein the bonding agent is disclosed in US Patent No. 5,695,868 to McCormack (page 16, lines 23-25). Table 1 of US'868 reads on the weight ratio limitation set out in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/696,735 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

6. Claims 1-4, 6-14, and 33-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCormack et al (US 6,015,764). McCormack US'764 is silent as to the weight ratio of the styrenic block copolymer to LLDPE. However, such a variable would have been recognized by one skilled in the art to control the degree of the stretchability and the water vapor rate transmission rate of the film such that the film stretchability increases with increasing amount of the styrenic block copolymer and the water vapor rate transmission rate of the film decreases with the increase in styrenic block copolymer. As such, in the absence of unexpected results, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the claimed ratio weight of styrenic block copolymer to LLDPE since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14, 33-40 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- The 102/103 art rejections over McCormack et al (US 6,015,764) have been overcome by the present amendment.
- The art rejections over McCormack et al (US 5,695,868) and WO 99/47590 have been maintained for the following reasons. Table 1 of US'868 shows the film comprising 15 wt% bonding agent and 20 wt% LLDPE. Likewise it is apparent

Application/Control Number: 09/696,735 Page 4

Art Unit: 1771

that the weight ratio of the bonding agent to LLDPE is ¾, meeting the specific range required by the claims.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai Vo whose telephone number is (703) 605-4426. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue-Fri, 8:30-6:00 and on alternating Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (703) 308-2414. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1771

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

HV March 1, 2003

> TERREL MORRIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700